W J

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,905	08/31/2001	Simon McGuire	74577-014	2082
	890 7590 02/25/2008 ROSKAUER ROSE LLP		EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT		GRAHAM, CLEMENT B	
1585 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			MAU DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/943,905	MCGUIRE, SIMON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CLEMENT B. GRAHAM	3692			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2007.				
,	·				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 09/943,905 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21, remained pending.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/30/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 7177, 833 Marynowski et al(Hereinafter Marynowski U.S Patent 7, 177, 833).

As per claims 1-11, Marynowski discloses a computer system for exchanging a financial note for a quantity of an exchange traded item comprising: (see column 4 lines 64-67 and column 5 lines 1-24).

Marynowski fail to explicitly teach calculating unit for calculating an exchange ratio of a level of a benchmark index relative to a price of an exchange traded item, and a transaction unit for delivering a quantity of the exchange traded item to the investor in exchange for the financial note in accordance with the calculated exchange ratio.

However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that an investor can contact a broker to purchase a note or notes and the broker in return can use a calculator in order to calculate an exchange ratio of a level of a benchmark index relative to a price of an exchange traded item, delivering or providing a quantity of the exchange traded item to the investor in exchange for the financial note in accordance with the calculated exchange ratio.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,905 Page 3

Art Unit: 3692

Further this is an automation of a known system.

As per claims 12-21, Marynowski discloses a computer system for exchanging a financial note for a quantity of an exchange traded item comprising: (see column 4 lines 64-67 and column 5 lines 1-24).

Marynowski fail to explicitly teach calculating unit for calculating an exchange ratio of a level of a benchmark index relative to a price of an exchange traded item, and a transaction unit for delivering a quantity of the exchange traded item to the investor in exchange for the financial note in accordance with the calculated exchange ratio.

However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that an investor can contact a broker to purchase a note or notes and the broker in return can use a calculator in order to calculate an exchange ratio of a level of a benchmark index relative to a price of an exchange traded item, delivering or providing a quantity of the exchange traded item to the investor in exchange for the financial note in accordance with the calculated exchange ratio.

Further this is an automation of a known system.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clement B Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-6795. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached on 571-272-6702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 703-305-0040 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

FRANTŻY POINVIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

CG

Feb 15, 2008